## VGP353 - Week 5

$\downarrow$ Agenda:

- Quiz \#2
- Assignment \#2 (shadow textures) due.
- Finish shadow maps:
- Percentage closer soft shadows (PCSS)
- Parallel-split shadow maps (PSSMs)
- Start assignment \#3 (shadow maps)


## Soft Shadows

Real lights have area

- Since the light has area, there are regions where only a portion of the light is occluded...this is the penumbra



## Soft Shadows

$>$ Real lights have area

- Since the light has area, there are regions where only a portion of the light is occluded...this is the penumbra
- Shadow maps represent part of the penumbra as umbra and part as unoccluded
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## Soft Shadows
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- Size of light
- Distance between occluder and light
- Distance between occluder and receiver


## Soft Shadows

$\downarrow$ Size of penumbra region varies with:

- Size of light
- Distance between occluder and light
- Distance between occluder and receiver
© Using this information to perform correct light visibility calculations is hard
- Make some simplifying assumptions!
- Assume that all occluders, receivers, and lights are both flat and parallel to each other


## Soft Shadows

¢ Estimate penumbra size using:
$w_{\text {penumbra }}=\frac{\left(d_{\text {receiver }}-d_{\text {blocker }}\right) \times w_{\text {light }}}{d_{\text {blocker }}}$
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## Soft Shadows

¢ Estimate penumbra size using:
$w_{\text {peammbra }}=\frac{\left(d_{\text {receciere }}-d_{\text {blocecer }}\right) \times w_{\text {lopht }}}{d_{\text {blocker }}}$
$\Rightarrow$ How do we determine $d_{\text {blockerer }}$ ?

- Search the shadow map for possible occluders
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## Soft Shadows

b Examine a region around the point in the shadow map

- Select region size based on light size and rendering budget
- Sample values and average all depths less than the current fragment
- Very similar to percentage closer filter (PCF)
$\downarrow$ Use resulting average as $d_{\text {blocker }}$
- $w_{\text {penumbra }}$ is the width of the PCF filter area


## Soft Shadows

## $\diamond$ Demo
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## References

## Randima Fernando. Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows. 2005. <br> Game Developer's Conference. <br> http://developer.download.nvidia.com/shaderlibrary/docs/shadow_PCSS.pdf

## Break
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## Perspective Shadow Maps

¢ Some significant problems:

- Shadow map quality is view-dependent
- Several special cases that must be handled depending on light direction / position
- Difficulties handling shadow casters behind the camera
$\downarrow$ Introduced some good ideas:
- Re-parameterizing the scene based on the camera / light frusta
- Quantitatively determining when aliasing will occur


## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

$\downarrow$ PSSMs solve most of these problems
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## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

$\downarrow$ PSSMs solve most of these problems

- Split view frustum into $m$ parts with planes parallel to the near / far plane
- Calculate light's viewprojection matrix for each split region
- Generate shadow map for each split regions
- Apply shadow maps to scene


## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

$\triangleright$ Aliasing occurs when $d>d_{i}$

$$
d=d_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{r_{s}}{r_{i}} \frac{N \cdot V}{N \cdot L}
$$

- Rename $r_{i}$ as $z$, and call $d z$ the change in $z$ relative to one unit in ds

$$
d=\frac{d z}{z d s} \frac{N \cdot V}{N \cdot L}
$$

- Ignoring perspective aliasing, this means that we want $d z$ / zds to be constant over the entire view
- Call this constant $\rho$


## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

$\Rightarrow$ Optimal shadow map distribution is:

$$
\frac{d s}{\mathrm{zdz}}=\rho \Rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{z})=\int_{0}^{s} d s=\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{n}^{z} \frac{1}{\mathrm{z}} d \mathrm{z}=\frac{1}{\rho} \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{z}}{n}\right)
$$

- Since $\mathrm{s}(f)=1, \rho=\ln (\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{n})$


## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

¢ Current hardware can't do this non-linear z transform

- Discretely perform the mapping in steps at the split planes

$$
s_{i}=\mathrm{s}\left(C_{i}^{\log }\right)=\frac{1}{\ln (f / n)} \ln \left(\frac{C_{i}^{\log }}{n}\right)
$$

- Each split gets 1 / m of total texture resolution, substituting $i / m$ for $s_{i}$

$$
C_{i}^{\log }=n\left(\frac{f}{n}\right)^{i / m}
$$

## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

Alternately, the view frustum could be divided into equally sized pieces

$$
C_{i}^{u n i}=\frac{(f-n) \times i}{m}+n
$$

## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

¢ Neither split strategy work very well

- Logarithmic splitting groups split-planes too close to the near plane
- Uniform splitting doesn't group split-planes close enough to the near plane


## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

¢ Neither split strategy work very well

- Logarithmic splitting groups split-planes too close to the near plane
- Uniform splitting doesn't group split-planes close enough to the near plane
$\downarrow$ Instead, use a hybrid of the two

$$
C_{i}=\lambda C_{i}^{\log }+(1-\lambda) C_{i}^{u n i}
$$

- $\lambda$ is tunable parameter
- The paper calls this the practical split scheme


## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

$\rangle$ Light transformation matrices are determined much like before

- Calculate view-projection matrix for light relative to whole view frustum
- Transform each split region to light's post-projection space
- Calculate AABB for transformed split region
- Use AABB to calculate "crop" transformation to scale and center split region to full view


## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

¢ To apply shadows, the shader must determine which region contains the current fragment

- Determine the split-plane, $C_{s}$, nearest the camera but farther away than the current fragment
- Cs determines which shadow map to apply
- The light transforms, $C_{i}$ distances, and shadow maps (samplers) must be provided to the shader as arrays of uniforms
- $m$ is a compile-time constant


## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

© Only directional lights have been dealt with so far
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## Parallel-Split Shadow Maps

b Only directional lights have been dealt with so far

- Light transformations for each split region are calculated from the light's post-projection space
- For point lights, transform by the light's viewprojection matrix first
- This effectively converts the point-light to a directional light!


## References

Zhang, F., Sun, H., Nyman, O. "Parallel-Split Shadow Maps on Programmable GPUs," in GPU Gems 3, ed. Hubert Nguyen, pp. 202 - 237. Boston, MA: Addison-Wesley, 2008. http://appsrv.cse.cuhk.edu.hk/~fzhang/pssm_project/
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## Next week...

## \$ Shadow volumes
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